

### **Pupil Premium Report**

The Government believes that the Pupil Premium, which is additional to main school funding, is the best way to address the current underlying inequalities between children, ensuring that funding to tackle disadvantage reaches the pupils who need it most.

The Pupil Premium is allocated to schools and is clearly identifiable. It is for schools to decide how the Pupil Premium is spent, since they are best placed to assess what additional provision should be made for the individual pupils within their responsibility. The Pupil Premium is allocated to schools per Free School Meals (FSM) pupil (any pupil who has been eligible for Free School Meals during the last six years). It is also allocated to children in care (LAC or CLA), adopted children and those who have parents in the armed services.

Schools are free to spend the Pupil Premium as they see fit. However, they will be held accountable for how they have used the additional funding to support pupils. Since September 2012, schools have been required to publish online information about how they have used the Pupil Premium.





# 2014-15 Financial Year – Impact Review

# our Pupil Premium allocation amounted to: £74,900

Intervention	Main Objective	Cost	Impact									
Bug Club	To engage reluctant readers.	£1500	Reading									
Reading			Year Gro	oup	%age achievir Age Rela Expecta by July 2	ated tions	%age exceedi Age Rela Expecta by July 2	ated tions	Point progress from Se – July '1	p '14		
			1		509		259		3.0	)		
			3		309		109		3.3			
			4		429	-	339		3.3			
			5		579		299		1.5			
Jepeca	<ul> <li>To coach children to be happy, in-control and productive individuals and members of society</li> <li>Provide training for professionals and</li> </ul>	£7000	Jepeca – fu below)	сомі	PARRIS	SONS	OF ME	AN SO	CORES	h		
	parents/carers to help support individuals		-		Assesment Me				nt Mean Score	e		
	To coach children with: confidence issues, low self- esteem, low feeling of self- worth, bullying, self-harm, behaviour concerns, stress, anxiety, anger, sadness and feeling unhappy.		10 8 6 4 2	-								
	To raise achievement across			VIOUR HO	ME BEHAVI	IOUR SCHOOL	. CONFI	DENCE	SELF-ESTE	EM		
Numicon	mathematics	£3000	Maths Year Gro	oup	%age achievir Age Rela Expecta by July 2	ated tions	%age exceedi Age Rela Expecta by July 2	ated tions	Point progress from Se – July '1	p '14		
			1 3 4		759		25%		4.5	;		
					30%		10%		2.1			
					42%		17%		2.9			
			5		439	%	14%		3.2			
Trips and residentials	<ul> <li>To ensure pupil premium children can engage in the full programme of activities</li> <li>To develop appropriate social interaction with peers</li> </ul>	£1300	All childrer was able to balance.  Parents of trips subside	o – par childre	ents paid en eligible	the £20	deposit oil premiu	and sch	ool paid t	the		
Back on Track Maths Intervention	<ul> <li>To improve TAs subject knowledge in maths.</li> </ul>	£850	I I	Age at time of baseline	Sandwell entry	difference between actual and entry	at exit test	Months Progress	difference between actual and exit	Numbe of lessons		
	<ul> <li>To accelerate the progress of children struggling with maths.</li> </ul>		Child a Child b Child c Child d	6y 6m 6y 8m 7y 4m 7y 4m	5y 7m 4y 11m 6y 2m 6y 3m	- 11m -1y 9m - 2y 2m - 1y 1m	6y 6y 9m 7y 5m 7y 9m	5m 22m 15m 18m	-10m -3m -3m +1m	24 24 20 20		
	<ul> <li>To develop resources and a structured approach to intervention which can be used more widely in your school to support low attaining children in maths.</li> </ul>		Child e	7y 4111 7y 8m	5y 4m	- 2y 4m	7y 4m	24m	-8m	20		

Intervention	Main Objective	Cost	Impact									
Pastoral	To reduce the number of	£30,500										
Manager and Pupil Premium	exclusions			2012 - 13	2013 - 14	2014 - 15	]					
Champion employed as	<ul> <li>To support a higher level of engagement in learning</li> </ul>		FTE	12	13	5						
pastoral support staff.	To plan and deliver		PEX	2	1	1						
	<ul> <li>appropriate social and emotional interventions as required</li> <li>To make appropriate referrals for alternative provision</li> <li>To provide a programme of</li> </ul>		Much improved climate for learning throughout the school and improved engagement in learning.  Reduced number of incidents in the school day that become a barrier to learning or a disruption to others accessing their									
	social skills	0.1070	learning.									
Intervention Support	<ul> <li>To equip children with SEN and also eligible for pupil premium with the skills needed to access the curriculum.</li> </ul>	£4850	Year 1 Phon performed vachieved the	ics (3 childre	e <b>n)</b> e national p	ercentage for %)	pupils who					
			-		ntly below o	verall (3.4) and	d in all 3					
SENCo time with SEN and pupil premium children	<ul> <li>To establish case studies with children who have SEN and who are eligible for Pupil Premium.</li> <li>To ensure appropriate intervention and support is in place.</li> <li>To work with SEN and Pupil</li> </ul>	£8200	year 6 (9 children) performed above the national average for similar pupils overall (0.6), well above in Mathematics (1.7), above in Reading (0.7), well below in Writing (1.6) and well below in EGPS (1.2)									
	Premium children 1:1 and in small groups to complete		Reading	%age achiev	ing ex	age cceeding	Point progress					
	assessments to identify need.		Year Grou	up Age Re Expect by July	ations Ex	ge Related opectations July 2015	from Sep '14 – July '15					
			1		0%	25%	3.0					
			3		0%	10%	3.3					
			4	-	2%	33%	3.3					
			5		7%	29%	1.5					
			Writing									
			Year Grou	%age achiev JP Age Re Expect by July	ring exelated Agrations Ex	age cceeding ge Related cpectations / July 2015	Point progress from Sep '14 – July '15					
			1		5%	25%	3.0					
			3		0%	-	2.1					
			4		0%	17%	3.3					
			5		9%	29%	3.2					
			Maths									
			Year Grou	%age achiev up Age Re Expect	ring exelated Ag	age <b>cceeding</b> ge Related cpectations	Point progress from Sep '14 – July '15					
				by July	2015 by	/ July 2015	·					
			1	-	5%	25% 10%	4.5					
			3 4		2%	10%	2.1					
			5		3%	14%	3.2					
	<u> </u>			4:	270	17/0	J.L					

Intervention	Main Objective	Cost	Impact								
Lunchtime Behaviour Support	<ul> <li>To improve the resources available to children at lunchtimes.</li> <li>To provide training for MDSAs linked to behaviour management and play.</li> </ul>	£3000	Reduced number of luthe number of fixed to	ınchtime incidents w	hich have also reduced						
Inclusion Leader	<ul> <li>To work with children vulnerable to exclusion by providing in class and lunchtime support.</li> </ul>	£2500	the number of fixed term exclusions.								
Parent Support Advisor (PSA)	<ul> <li>To create a positive link between home and school.</li> <li>To work with families who are experiencing unsettling times.</li> <li>To work with families on child protection plans or who are at child in need level.</li> <li>To work with families who</li> </ul>	£1800	Improved attendance  Reduced involvement help intervention by t  The Trinity school has a well-estat families being supported by social  Child Protection Plans in place	needed from social of the PSA:							
	have a poor attendance record.		9 children were on CP plans with social care	1 child had a child in need plan in place	7 children had an open CAF the last 2 years. At the present time we						
			Child Protection Plans in place	Child In Need support	Common Assessment Framework (CAF)						
			3 children are currently on CP plans with social care	4 child have a child in need plan in place	2 children have an open CAF						
Life Education Bus	■ To provide support for children in the following areas: how the body works, the importance of healthy diet and exercise, safe use of medicines, the risks of tobacco, alcohol and non-prescription drugs and emotional wellbeing (including bullying.)	£700	The school has achiev Wiltshire Council for i (physical and mental).	ts work in improving	onze Award from children overall health						
Nurture Resources	To provide the pastoral team with the necessary resources to carry out the planned intervention programmes.	£5000	Improved climate for learning throughout the school and an increasing engagement in learning.								
Alternative Provision	<ul> <li>To access alternative provision and provide children vulnerable to exclusion with alternative provision to help re-engage them in learning.</li> <li>To access:         <ul> <li>Equine assisted learning</li> <li>WOLT – forest school</li> <li>Greatwood Horse Power</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	£4000	Reduced exclusions and reengagement in learning for childre vulnerable to exclusion.  Case study evidence available for individual children.								
	Total	£74,900									

## 2014-15 Impact Data

#### **Year 1 Phonics**

		P	honics Screenin	g Check	
	Cohort	Number A/D*	Number achieving expected standard	% School	% National
All Pupils	25	0	13	52	77
Gender					
Male	14	0	7	50	73
Female	11	0	6	55	81
Disadvantaged pupils					
Disadvantaged pupils	3	0	1	33	66
Other pupils	22	0	12	55	80

■ The Disadvantaged Pupils performed **well below** the national percentage for pupils who achieved the expected standard (-33%)

#### **Key Stage 1**

	All NC Core Subjects				Readi	ng		Writin	ng	Ma	atics	
	School		National	School		National	School		National	School		National
	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS
All Pupils	28	14.7	16.1	28	15.3	16.6	28	13.8	15.3	28	15.1	16.4
Free School Meals*												
FSM	7	11.4	14.8	7	11.3	15.2	7	11.0	14.0	7	11.9	15.2
Non FSM	21	15.9	16.6	21	16.6	17.1	21	14.7	15.8	21	16.2	16.8
Disadvantaged pupils												
Disadvantaged pupils	7	11.4	14.8	7	11.3	15.2	7	11.0	14.0	7	11.9	15.1
Other pupils	21	15.9	16.6	21	16.6	17.1	21	14.7	15.8	21	16.2	16.9

- The FSM pupils (7) performed **very significantly below** overall (3.4) and in all 3 subjects
- The Disadvantaged Pupils (7) performed **very significantly below** overall (3.4) and in all 3 subjects

#### **Key Stage 2**

	Mathematics, Reading and Writing (TA)		Mathematics R			Readir	ng	W	riting (	(TA)	English Grammar, Punctuation & Spelling						
	School		School		National	Scho	юl	National	Scho	ool	National	Scho	ool	National	Sch	ool	National
	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS	Cohort	APS	APS		
All Pupils	17	27.8	28.8	17	28.8	29.0	17	28.1	29.0	17	25.6	28.2	17	26.3	29.1		
Free School Meals*																	
FSM	9	27.8	27.2	9	29.0	27.3	9	28.3	27.6	9	25.0	26.6	9	26.3	27.5		
Non FSM	8	27.8	29.5	8	28.5	29.8	8	27.8	29.6	8	26.3	28.8	8	26.3	29.8		
Disadvantaged pupils																	
Disadvantaged pupils	9	27.8	27.2	9	29.0	27.3	9	28.3	27.6	9	25.0	26.6	9	26.3	27.5		
Other pupils	8	27.8	29.5	8	28.5	29.8	8	27.8	29.6	8	26.3	28.9	8	26.3	29.9		

- The FSM pupils (9) performed **above** the national average for similar pupils overall (0.6), **well above** in Mathematics (1.7), **above** in Reading (0.7), **well below** in Writing (1.6) and **well below** in EGPS (1.2)
- The Disadvantage Pupils (9) performed **above** the national average for similar pupils overall (0.6), **well above** in Mathematics (1.7), **above** in Reading (0.7), **well below** in Writing (1.6) and **well below** in EGPS (1.2)

#### KS1 - KS2 Progress

In Reading 89% of FSM pupils (8/9) made expected progress of which:

- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L1 (2/2) well above the national percentage (14) and in line with non FSM
- 67% made expected progress from KS1 L2C (2/3) well below the national percentage (-18) and well
  above non FSM (67)
- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L2B (1/1) just above the national percentage (4) and in line with non FSM
- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L2A (3/3) just above the national percentage (1) and in line with non FSM
- 100% made better than expected progress from KS1 L1 (2/2) well above the national percentage (36) and in line with non FSM
- 33% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2C (1/3) well above the national percentage (14) and well above non FSM (33)
- 0% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2B (0/1) well below the national percentage (-36) and in line with non FSM
- 67% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2A (2/3) just above the national percentage (3) and well above non FSM (67)

In Writing 89% of FSM pupils (8/9) made expected progress of which:

- 67% made expected progress from KS1 L1 (2/3) well below the national percentage (-28) and well above non FSM (67)
- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L2C (2/2) **above** the national percentage (9) and **well above** non FSM (50)
- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L2B (4/4) just above the national percentage (2) and in line with non FSM
- 0% made better than expected progress from KS1 L1 (0/3) well below the national percentage (-60) and
  in line with non FSM
- 0% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2C (0/2) well below the national percentage (-11) and in line with non FSM
- 0% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2B (0/4) **well below** the national percentage (-34) and in line with non FSM

In Mathematics 89% of FSM pupils (8/9) made expected progress of which:

- 50% made expected progress from KS1 L2C (1/2) well below the national percentage (-27) and well above non FSM (50)
- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L2B (2/2) **above** the national percentage (6) and **well above** non FSM (50)
- 100% made expected progress from KS1 L2A (5/5) just above the national percentage (1) and in line with non FSM
- 0% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2C (0/2) below the national percentage (-9) and in line with non FSM
- 50% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2B (1/2) well above the national percentage (23) and well above non FSM (50)
- 60% made better than expected progress from KS1 L2A (3/5) in line with the national percentage and **well above** non FSM (10)